



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| PPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|----------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 09/437,239 | 11/10/1999 | MASAAKI HYODO | 1883-32 | 7692 |
| 23117 75 | 00 01/11/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| | ANDERHYE, PC LEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOO | NGUYEN, HUY THANH | | |
| ARLINGTON, | | OK . | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2616 | |
| | | | | |

DATE MAILED: 01/11/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

| Application Number | Application/Control No. | Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination | | | |
|----------------------------|-------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| | 09/437,239 | HYODO ET AL. | | | |
| | | Art Unit | | | |
| | Nguyen, Huy | 2616 | | | |
| Document Code - AP.PRE.DEC | | | | | |

Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review



| This is in response | to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for | Review filed <u>11-28-05</u> . |
|--|--|---|
| 1. Improper reason(s): | Request – The Request is improp | er and a conference will not be held for the following |
| ☐ The req | tice of Appeal has not been filed co quest does not include reasons why osed amendment is included with th | |
| | | un from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from o Notice of Appeal has been received. |
| held. The applic is required to su brief will be reso running from the appeal brief is e | cation remains under appeal becau- ubmit an appeal brief in accordance et to be one month from mailing this e receipt of the notice of appeal, wh | nterferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been se there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal se decision, or the balance of the two-month time period nichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the ed upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date |
| Claim(s) a Claim(s) o Claim(s) re | anel has determined the status of the llowed: bjected to: ejected: vithdrawn from consideration: | |
| | be mailed. Prosecution on the merit | peen held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of s remains closed. No further action is required by |
| | Prosecution – A conference has be ailed. No further action is required | een held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office by applicant at this time. |
| All participants: | James | |
| (1) <u>Groody</u> . | James J. Groody Supervisory Patent Examiner Art Unit 262 2615 | (3) |
| (2) Nauven. | 1 - | (4) |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Part of Paper No. 20060106